	Case 2:20-cv-01173-JAM-CKD Docume	ent 8 Filed 11/12/20 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JEAN MARC VAN DEN HEUVEL,	No. 2:20-cv-1173-JAM-CKD PS	
12	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>	
13	v.	(ECF No. 6)	
14	EL DORADO COUNTY JUDICIAL		
15	COURT SEIZURES, et al.,  Defendants.		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	On October 5, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF		
19	No. 6), which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice that any objections to the		
20	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. On October 23, 2020,		
21	plaintiff filed untimely objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 7), which have		
22	nonetheless been considered by the court.		
23	This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which an		
24	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore		
25			
26	<sup>1</sup> The document docketed as plaintiff's objections bears the present case number but is captioned as "Objections to Judge Nunley Findings, Dated August 6, 2020" and shows a different set of		
27	defendants. However, plaintiff attaches the magistrate judge's October 5, 2020 findings and recommendations in this case, and the substance of the objections relates to the same judicial		
28	immunity issue addressed therein.	1	
		1	

	Case 2:20-cv-01173-JAM-CKD Document 8 Filed 11/12/20 Page 2 of 2		
1	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981); see also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d		
2	930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection		
3	has been made, the court assumes its correctness and decides the matter on the applicable law.		
4	See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's		
5	conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.20		
6	452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).		
7	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,		
8	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,		
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
10	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 6) are ADOPTED IN FULL;		
11	2. Plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED without leave to amend; and		
12	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.		
13			
14	DATED: November 10, 2020 /s/ John A. Mendez		
15	THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			